

DCP 307 Working Group Meeting 05

03 May 2018 at 12:30am

Skype Meeting / Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Claire Towler [CT]	SSE
Donna Townsend [DT]	ESPUG
Haydn Wyllis [HW]	SSE
Peter Waymont [PW]	UKPN
Stacey Buck [SB]	BU-UK
Observers	
George Huang [GH]	Ofgem
Code Administrator	
Joe Underwood [JU] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Dylan Townsend (DT1) (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
Angela Love [AL]	Energetics

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Chair welcomed the members to the meeting.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the minutes and actions from the previous meeting, during which it was noted that an action was missed from the minutes of the last meeting. The following action is to be added to the log:
 - The Ofgem representative to look into whether the authority would be able to make determinations should this change be introduced in its current form.
- 1.4 Subject to the amendment noted above, the Working Group agreed that the minutes were a fair and accurate representation of the discussions held. Updated actions are set out in Appendix 1.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to continue to review and analyse the consultation responses which had been collated together into a single document by the Secretariat.

3. Review of Collated Consultation Responses

- 3.1 It was noted that during the last meeting the Working Group reviewed the responses to questions one through eight of the collated consultation responses document.
- 3.2 During this meeting the Working Group reviewed the responses to questions nine through to eighteen and made comments against each applicable response. The document capturing the Working Groups comments acts as Attachment 1 to these minutes.
- 3.3 The following actions were recorded as a result of the Working Groups review of the consultation responses:

ACTION – 05/01: ElectraLink to clarify internally, what the process is with regard to the UK Power Distribution's response to Question 12. Specifically, if the D2021 and D2026 products are a combined offering or whether you can have one and not the other.

ACTION – 05/02: The Working Group and ElectraLink to investigate if there is a precedent in DCUSA or other industry codes for similar arrangements for compliance with something which is not change managed in open governance.

ACTION – 05/03: ElectraLink to obtain information internally regarding the governance arrangements currently used or that could be used.

ACTION – 05/04: Working Group to give due consideration to the following view set out in the Scottish Power Energy Networks response to Question 15:

"this change proposal could stop IDNO entering the market and therefore reduce competition."

ACTION – 05/05: Working Group to give due consideration to the following comment from ESP Electricity Ltd response to Question 15:

"conflict between the DCUSA and the DUoS E-billing Agreement should changes be made in either agreement that are not mirrored in the other."

ACTION – 05/06: Working Group to reflect the potential impact to host DNOs with regards to RIIO-2 discussions in future consultations and/or the Change Report.

ACTION – 05/07: ElectraLink to ask internally to confirm if there is a belief that 6 months is a sufficient enough timeframe for both ElectraLink and Parties to implement systems and complete contract negotiation with a number of Parties at the same time.

ACTION – 05/08: Working Group to consider the The Electricity Network Company’s response to Question 18 when undertaking further developing the solution and when drafting any RFI or consultation.

4. Work Plan

- 4.1 The Working Group agreed for the Secretariat to update the work plan in line with the decisions made under agenda item 5 and 7 below.
- 4.2 The updated DCP 307 Work Plan is provided as Attachment 2.

5. Agenda Items for the Next Meeting

- 5.1 Working Group to review the work that has been undertaken in respect of the actions that were captured during review of the consultation responses and to agree any next steps.

6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 There were no items of any other business and the Chair closed the meeting.

7. Date of Next Meeting

POST MEETING NOTE:

- 7.1 The Working Group agreed to meet towards the end of May or early June, however due to an IT issue encountered by the Secretariat the agreed date was not captured. A doodle poll will be issued to the Working Group to confirm availability for some selected dates in June.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1 – DCP 307 Collated Consultation Responses – Working Group Comments
- Attachment 2 – DCP 307 Work Plan

Appendix 1- New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/01	Demonstrate that this change is not seeking to correct “non-existent non-compliance”, in any further documentation issued.	Working Group members	03/05/2018 - Ongoing
04/02	Explore the outcome of DCP 145 and highlight findings in any further documentation issued.	Working Group members	03/05/2018 - Ongoing
04/03	Carry out a review of DCP 111 and include any findings in any further documentation issued.	Working Group members	03/05/2018 - Ongoing
04/05	Ask the respondent who wished for their responses to remain confidential for clarity on their answer to question two, specifically the following: <i>“The change would impose a limitation how the IDNO’s and suppliers can innovate to compete and keep costs low.”</i>	ElectraLink	03/05/2018 - Ongoing
04/07	See what general information can be made available regarding the pricing for providing the D2021 flow service and any other points made throughout the responses that Parties have highlighted. This action is to be completed prior to the next meeting.	ElectraLink	03/05/2018 - Ongoing
04/08	Look into whether the authority would be able to make determinations should this change be introduced in its current form.	George Huang	03/05/2018 - Ongoing
05/01	Clarify internally, what the process is with regard to the UK Power Distribution’s response to Question 12. Specifically, if the D2021 and D2026 products are a combined offering or whether you can have one and not the other.	ElectraLink	
05/02	Investigate if there is a precedent in DCUSA or other industry codes for similar arrangements for compliance with something which is not change managed in open governance.	Working Group members & ElectraLink	
05/03	Obtain information internally regarding the governance arrangements currently used or that could be used.	ElectraLink	
05/04	Give due consideration to the following view set out in the Scottish Power Energy Networks response to Question 15:	Working Group members	

	<i>"this change proposal could stop IDNO entering the market and therefore reduce competition."</i>		
05/05	Give due consideration to the following comment from ESP Electricity Ltd response to Question 15: <i>"conflict between the DCUSA and the DUoS E-billing Agreement should changes be made in either agreement that are not mirrored in the other."</i>	Working Group members	
05/06	Reflect the potential impact to host DNOs with regards to RIIO-2 discussions in future consultations and/or the Change Report.	Working Group members	
05/07	Ask internally to confirm if there is a belief that 6 months is a sufficient enough timeframe for both ElectraLink and Parties to implement systems and complete contract negotiation with a number of Parties at the same time.	ElectraLink	
05/08	Consider the The Electricity Network Company's response to Question 18 when undertaking further developing the solution and when drafting any RFI or consultation.	Working Group members	

Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
04/04	Find any initial communication from the Proposer with regard to other similar CPs that had been raised.	ElectraLink	03/05/2018 - Completed
04/06	Create a table with the costs and benefits that have been noted by respondents.	ElectraLink	03/05/2018 - Completed